



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/870,321	05/30/2001	Volker Lehmann	32226.4	7637

22865 7590 10/20/2006

ALTERA LAW GROUP, LLC  
6500 CITY WEST PARKWAY  
SUITE 100  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55344-7704

EXAMINER

GORDON, BRIAN R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1743

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/870,321

Applicant(s)

LEHMANN, VOLKER

Examiner

Brian R. Gordon

Art Unit

1743

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 August 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 35-46 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 35-46 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- 1) ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - 2) ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - 3) ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                       | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 7, 2006 has been entered.

### ***Response to Arguments***

2. In view of the cancellation of all previously rejected claims, the previous rejections are withdrawn. Applicant's new claims are broader than the previously examined claims and are rejected as given herein.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 35-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. A "diaphragm" is commonly known as elastic, flexible, material often used in

Art Unit: 1743

pressure application. As such it is unclear how the structure, which contains pores, can function or be referenced as diaphragm as claimed by applicant.

It should be noted the effect in which a pump is going to have on a liquid present in the device will depend from a number of factors, including pore size, the type of particular liquid (viscosity), the type of material the diaphragm is manufactured from, surface tension of such material (is it hydrophobic or hydrophilic in reference to the particular liquid). As such, the device as claimed would not function. It is further unclear what is the purpose of such a device and method for if the reduced pressure does not allow for liquid to be transferred, therefore nothing occurs.

5. Claims 35-46 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. A controller is critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claim(s) is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The pump alone does not function as to control the pressure as claimed.

6. Claims 39-40 and 45-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claims 39 and 45 are directed to a new negative limitation not previously required. Where is such a claimed supported? If the medium is not liquid, then that implies the medium can be any other material such

as gas, plasma, suspensions, etc. The medium should be claimed as positively of what it is rather than what it is not.

The diaphragm 406 is described as being hydrophobic but there is no support of the diaphragm being hydrophilic as claimed.

7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

8. Claims 35-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what applicant considers as a "diaphragm". In the specification elastic pressure application element 207 is referenced as a diaphragm as well porous element 406 is also referenced as a diaphragm. It appears if the porous element is a filter or similar porous membrane and referring to the element as a "diaphragm" is contradictory to how such a structure is defined by one of ordinary skill in the art. As such it is unclear what applicant intends to claim.

It is unclear how the apparatus is a capillary device when no capillary element is claimed. Furthermore no structural analysis element is claimed to perform any analysis, therefore it is unclear how the device is used for analyzing. No analysis occurs in the method claims.

The phrase citing at least one pore of a given radius is unclear. The phrase "a given radius" is not a specified dimension as to determine what exactly is the dimension

of the pore. A given radius can be any radius one chooses, for the claim places no limitations on the radius.

As to the claims citing the pump produces a reduced pressure that does not go below a critical pressure to overcome liquid in the pore, the examiner asserts any vacuum pump or pump capable of creating a negative pressure is equivalent to the claimed pump.

It is unclear what one considers "a reduced pressure". Reduced as compared to what? The term reduced is in relevance to some standard. There is no specified standard for one to determine what is reduced. It appears as if the more accurate term would be negative pressure.

It should be noted the effect in which a pump is going to have on a liquid present in the device will depend from a number of factors, including pore size, the type of particular liquid (viscosity), the type of material the diaphragm is manufactured from, surface tension of such material (is it hydrophobic or hydrophilic in reference to the particular liquid). Without specifying the factors as stated above the device and method as claimed will not function.

The equation of claims 37 and 43 are not further limiting of the structure, but merely states how one intends to calculate the pressure within the device.

Claim 38 is directed to how the device is intended to be used. The medium is not positively claimed as an element of the invention. Furthermore as previously stated no analysis element is claimed.

Claims 39 and 45 are directed to a negative limitation which implies medium can be any other medium other than liquid. This is not supported by the specification.

As to claim 41, there are steps missing. The first step is providing the pipette, however while the second step is directed to producing a reduced pressure in relevance to a liquid. It is unclear where the liquid comes from and how it is related to the pipette. Is the liquid present in the pipette? Is the pipette placed in the liquid?

Claims 43-46 are not process limitations, for they do not add any additional steps to the method.

### ***Claim Interpretations***

9. For the purpose of examination, a system comprising an aspirating or vacuum device including a porous structure (filter, frit, membrane, etc.) and pump capable of producing a reduced pressure is considered equivalent to the device as claimed by applicant.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 1743

11. Claims 35-46 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bjorkman, US 4,642,220.

Bjorkman discloses a device for carrying out an analysis method. The device includes vessels 2 (pipettes) and the recesses 6 when the reaction vessels 2 are placed in the rack. In this way there is formed a plurality of chambers 8, which upwards are delimited by the porous bottom elements 3. Downwards the chambers 8 are connected to the channel 4. By using the connecting nipple 5, the channel 4 may be connected to a pressure regulating system (controller/mechanical control unit 25), e.g. to a suitable pump system for controlling the pressure of the chambers 8.

FIGS. 2 and 3 show two different types of reaction vessels, both of which have a porous bottom element 3. In addition thereto the vessel of FIG. 3 has a filter 9, which is applied to and covers the pores of the bottom element 3. For aqueous liquid phases the preferred filter is hydrophilic, particularly a three dimensional depth filter.

We have found that hydrophobic membranes with pore sizes from about  $1\mu$  to  $20\mu$  are useful for the reaction vessels shown in FIG. 2, especially when biospecific reactions are involved. It is suitable to work with pressure differentials between 100 and 500 Pa when using these types of porous bottoms (column 2, line 58).

12. Claims 35-46 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moulton US 5,851,491.

Moulton discloses A filter (diaphragm) for a pipette tip is provided, comprising a plurality of vertically-oriented cylindrical micro fibers cohesively bundled in adjoining columns which are composed of a core of an autoclavable material and an outer coating



of a hydrophobic material. The micro fibers are packed together such that each micro fiber is compressed against the other fibers and the inner surface of the pipette tip. The compression of the fibers creates vertically-oriented pores interstitially between the micro fibers, each pore having a pore size at various points within the filter (abstract).

Filter 30 comprises a plurality of cylindrical micro fibers 44. Referring to FIGS. 3 and 4, micro fibers 44 each comprise a core 46 of an autoclavable material and an outer coating 48 of a hydrophobic material. In a first preferred embodiment, core 46 is formed of polypropylene and outer coating 48 is formed of polyethylene.

Pipettor 22 may be any suction device capable of drawing fluid 26 into pipette tip 12 in incremental amounts, including volumetric pipettors, elastic bulbs, bellows, or suction pumps.

13. Claims 35-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kitajima et al., US 6,225,130.

14. Kitajima et al. disclose a method of preparing a serum sample from whole blood without destroying blood cells, and thereby, of obtaining highly reliable analytical values. The inventors found that, there is a critical value between the insertion speed of a serum suction nozzle into a vessel and a suction pressure, and a blood serum sample can be obtained without destruction of blood cells by sucking the blood serum while keeping the suction pressure under the critical value (Summary of Invention).

The holder body 10 (pipette) is made of high-impact polystyrene resin, and has a glass fiber filter chamber 11 for containing the glass fiber filter 30 and a microporous membrane chamber 12 for containing a polysulfone microporous membrane as the

Art Unit: 1743

microporous membrane 40 above the glass fiber filter chamber 11. The microporous membrane has a diameter greater than the glass fiber filter chamber, and the periphery of the microporous membrane 40 is nipped by the step portion 19 formed on the foundary between the glass fiber filter chamber 11 and the microporous membrane chamber 12 and the bottom of the cap 20 so as not to form a leakage without passing the blood filtering material.

As disclosed in the examples suction was carried out by using a peristaltic pump at a suction pressure (pressure difference) of 300 mm Hg at the maximum for a suction period of 15 seconds.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Gordon whose telephone number is 571-272-1258. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, with 2nd and 4th F off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'EPM', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

brg